- 1 1. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to the above-entitled action, by their 2 respective counsel, that the date of the initial case management conference as well as the case schedule set 3 forth in the Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference shall be extended. 4 2. Plaintiffs are required to serve at least one defendant within 120 days of the filing of the 5 complaint as required in the September 17, 2004 Order Setting Case Management Conference signed by 6 United States Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James as well as F.R.C.P, Rule 4(m). Plaintiffs filed the 7 complaint on September 20, 2005 and have until January 18, 2006 to serve the complaint on at least one 8 defendant. 9 3. The Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference requires Plaintiffs to file their Case 10 Management Statement, Rule 26(f) Report on January 12, 2006. This date is prior to the date Plaintiffs are 11 required to serve Defendant. The Summons in a Civil Case dated September 20, 2005, issued by Mary Ann 12 Buckley, Deputy Clerk requires Defendant to answer the complaint within twenty (20) days of service. The 13 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference sets the Case Management Conference on January 19. 14 2006. This date is prior to the date Defendant is required to answer the complaint. 15 3. There have been no previous time modifications to this case. 4. 16 Modification of the schedule will enable Plaintiffs to serve the complaint in the one hundred 17 twenty (120) days time period allotted by this court and the Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 18 to serve Defendant the complaint. Modification of the schedule will also give Defendant twenty (20) days to
- 19 answer the complaint pursuant to the Summons. 20 The requested time modification will minimally effect the schedule of the case, postponing the 5. 21 dates of the Case Management Conference and the date the Initial Case Management Statement until after 22 the Complaint has been served and the answer has been filed.
 - The parties therefore request that the Case Management Conference Statement be due sixty 6. (60) days after the Defendant files the answer to the complaint.

25

23

24

26

27

28

Case 3:05-cv-03781-MEJ Document 5 Filed 12/15/05 Page 3 of 3

| 1 | MASTAGNI, HOLSTEDT, AMICK, |
|----|---|
| 2 | MILLER, JOHNSEN & UHRHAMMER Dated: December 14, 2005 |
| 3 | By: /s/ David E. Mastagni |
| 4 | DAVID E. MASTAGNI, Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| 5 | Dated: December 14, 2005 |
| 6 | By: <u>/s/ Thomas Haas</u> THOMAS HAAS, |
| 7 | Attorney for Defendant |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 11 | |
| | The Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Time of Initial Case Management Conference and Case Schedule Is Hereby Adopted by the Court for the Case and the Parties Are Ordered to Comply with |
| 12 | this Order. Pursuant to the stipulation, the Court hereby reschedules the CMC to March 2, 2006, |
| 13 | at 10:00 a.m. December 15, 2005 |
| 14 | Dated:HONORABLE MARIA ELENA JAMES |
| 15 | STATES DE CO |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Judge Maria-Elena James |
| 20 | |
| 21 | THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY |
| 22 | DISTRICT OF CE |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re Adams, et al. v. City of Walnut Creek |
| | |

-3-

C 05-03781 MEJ

Extending Time